

CONFIDENTIAL

SINGAPORE ACCREDITATION COUNCIL SINGAPORE LABORATORY ACCREDITATION SCHEME (SAC-SINGLAS)

TECHNICAL ASSESSOR/EXPERT CHECKLIST

INTRODUCTION

Thank you for assisting SAC-SINGLAS as a technical assessor/expert.

Evaluation of the technical competence of the laboratory is the integral component of a SAC-SINGLAS assessment. As a technical assessor/expert, you play a key role in the assessment and are requested to:

- a) examine and evaluate the technical competence of a laboratory
- b) determine the suitability of the methods employed in various test/calibration
- c) examine whether the scope of application is within the capability of the laboratory
- d) recommend and verify ranges and uncertainties for specific test/calibration, where applicable
- e) provide constructive advice to laboratories on improving their operation

To aid you in this task, the Technical Assessor/Expert Checklist LAFM05 is provided. This report is divided into three parts:

- a) Introduction
- b) Part A: Roles of assessors/experts
 - This section outlines the roles of both the SAC-SINGLAS team leader and the technical assessor/expert, which are complementary in nature. There are also areas where both assessors need to examine together
- c) Part B: Signatory approval
 - This section is on the nominees or existing approved signatories
- d) Part C: Types of test/calibration witnessed and/or assessed through document review by the technical assessor/expert and comments

This section is where technical assessor/expert is required to list down the following:

- i) types of test/calibration assessed or witnessed
- ii) comments on the performance of the laboratory
- iii) non-conformities against SAC 01, SAC-02, SAC-SINGLAS 001& 006, ISO/IEC 17025, technical notes, PROF 001 and/or any test/calibration requirements

(Additional sheets to be provided where necessary)

At the end of the assessment, please sign on the cover page and return the Technical Assessor/Expert Checklist (LAFM05) to the team leader for his/her collation of the findings for presentation to the laboratory during the closing meeting.

Thank you for conducting	g the ass	essment for SAC.
Name of Organisation A	ssessed:	
Date(s) of Assessment	:	
Name of Team Leader	:	
Technical Assessor	:	
		Name

PART A: ROLES OF ASSESSORS

Team Leader (TL)

- Introduces the team
- Briefs on the Assessment plan
- Confirms on changes(s) to scope and signatories
- Evaluates the laboratory's quality system and its implementation
- Discusses with the laboratory staff
- Assesses nominee's understanding of SAC requirements and responsibilities as approved signatory
- Collates the findings
- Discusses with TA/TE on the severity of the findings
- Discusses with TA/TE on the recommendations on the accreditation status
- Presents findings
- Informs laboratory the timeline for submission of corrective actions according to the category of the non-conformities

Team Leader (TL)

- Confirm Assessment plan
- Arranges pre-meeting with TA/TE, where necessary
- Arranges with Laboratory for test/calibration to be demonstrated

Team Leader (TL)

- Reviews corrective actions and where necessary, collates the team's comments for laboratory's further clarification
- Conducts a verification visit, where necessary
- Writes the assessment report with team's findings and recommendations

Technical Assessor / Expert (TA / TE)

- Notes the change(s) to scope and signatory approval(s)
- Understands laboratory's operations
- Evaluates laboratory's technical capability
- Discusses with key technical staff and technicians (hands-on personnel)
- Witnesses test/calibration
- Assesses nominee's qualifications, technical competence and ability to evaluate results
- Comments on the competence laboratory, makes suggestions where appropriate
- Discusses with TL on the severity of the findings

Technical Assessor / Expert (TA / TE)

- Prepares for assessment
- Informs TL of test/calibration to be demonstrated
- Requests for test/calibration methods where appropriate

Technical Assessor / Expert (TA / TE)

- Review corrective actions raised and offers comments, where appropriate
- Visits the laboratory for a follow-up verification with TL, where necessary
- Provides clarification on findings, where necessary

LAFM05/02 Jan 2024 Page 2 of 6

PART B: SIGNATORY APPROVAL

1.New Nominees for Signatory Approval

Name(s) of nominated signatory(ies) assessed:
1. 2.	
Please	assess the following:
a)	Does/Do the nominee(s) possess the appropriate technical qualifications and experience?
b)	Does/Do the nominee(s) occupy appropriate positions in the organisation staff structure to be responsible for the adequacy of test/calibration results?
c)	Does/Do the nominee(s) possess technical knowledge of the test/calibration under the scope of accreditation?
d)	Is/Are the nominee(s) competent to make a critical evaluation of test/calibration results?
e)	Does/Do the nominee(s) spend sufficient time in the laboratory in order to exercise adequate supervision?
f)	Has the nominee(s) been working in the laboratory for at least a year?

LAFM05/02 Jan 2024 Page 3 of 6

2. Existing Approved Signatories (as shown in the latest Schedule of Accreditation)

Please refer to existing Schedule. Name(s) of existing signatory(ies) assessed: 1. 2. Do the signatories still occupy appropriate positions in the organisation staff structure to be a) responsible for the adequacy of test/calibration results? Do the signatories still retain sufficient contact time with test/calibration procedures to maintain the b) ability for critical evaluation of results?

LAFM05/02 Jan 2024 Page 4 of 6

PART C: TYPES OF TEST/CALIBRATION WITNESSED / ASSESSED THROUGH DOCUMENT REVIEW*

ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Requirements to be assessed by TA/TE when	Title of Test/ Calibration witnessed/reviewed* :	
witnessing test/calibration and/or conducting document review	☐ Standard method ☐ Modified standard method ☐ In-house / non-standard method	
	[Please indicate Test	Standard (Version / clause no. if applicable)]
Laboratory Staff observed who demonstrated the test (Names/Designation)	☐ Training Records ☐	Competency Records
Clause 6.2		
Facilities and Environmental Conditions in accordance with Test Standard/ methods or procedure	☐ Documented Proced	ures □ Records
Clause 6.3		
Equipment & Calibration (E.g. calibration cert no., serial no., equipment ID) • Clause 6.4		rking / Faulty / Not Available orking range □ Equipment safeguarded from ule / Records
Traceability/ Reference Materials and Standards • Clause 6.5	□ Valid certificate □ P	roper Storage / Identification
Validity of Results/ Proficiency Testing (PT)/IQC/ Inter-Laboratory Comparison • Clause 7.7	unavailable) □ Outlier reviewed (if a	of results / Inter-laboratory Comparison (Only if PT is pplicable) □ Effectiveness of corrective actions nce checks for infrequent laboratory activities
Handling of samples and sampling • Clause 7.4	☐ Storage Requiremen	ts □ Identification of sample

LAFM05/02 Jan 2024 Page 5 of 6

Validation of method (in- house / non-standard and modified standard method)	☐ Documented Procedures ☐ Validation Report
Clause 7.2	
Modified standard method (if applicable	Does the modified standard method (i.e. test methods modified from a standard method) has equal performance as the standard method? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Measurement UncertaintyClause 7.6	☐ Are the sources of uncertainty identified? ☐ For quantitative test/calibration, is the measurement uncertainty evaluated?
Sampling	
Clause 7.3	
Control of data/ Validation of in-house developed software (if applicable	
• Clause 7.11	
Test/Calibration Reports and Raw data sheet (Indicate report no.) • Clause 7.8	

*NOTE : Please delete accordingly

LAFM05/02 Jan 2024 Page 6 of 6